

## Has US Shale Gas Reduced CO<sub>2</sub> Emissions?

Examining recent changes in emissions from the US power sector and traded fossil fuels

October 2012

Dr John Broderick & Prof Kevin Anderson Tyndall Manchester University of Manchester Manchester M13 9PL john.broderick@manchester.ac.uk

A research briefing commissioned by

The co-operative

This report is non-peer-reviewed and all views contained within are attributable to the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of researchers within the wider Tyndall Centre.

## Contents

1	Executive Summary		2	
2	Int	Introduction		
3 Is shale gas substituting for coal in the US energy system?			5	
	3.1	Power sector composition	9	
4	Tre	ends in the international trade of US coal	13	
5 Changes in US CO <sub>2</sub> emissions		anges in US CO <sub>2</sub> emissions	15	
	5.1	Method 1: Relative efficiencies of US power stations	17	
	5.2	Method 2: Power sector fuel switching taken in aggregate	18	
	5.3	Econometric approaches to estimating substitution	21	
6	Im	pact on CO <sub>2</sub> Emissions Outside of US	21	
7	Co	Conclusions25		
8	Re	ferences	26	
Re	Reproduction of Table 3 Trends in generation by fuel source			

## **1** Executive Summary

Since 2007, the production of shale gas in large volumes has substantially reduced the wholesale price of natural gas in the US. This report examines the emissions savings in the US power sector, influenced by shale gas, and the concurrent trends in coal exports that may increase emissions in Europe and Asia.

Electricity generated by the combustion of natural gas is generally considered to have a lower emissions intensity per unit electricity than that generated by burning coal. The relative lifecycle carbon footprint of gas produced by hydraulic fracturing is contested and at present there is a shortage of independent primary data. However, trends in the absolute quantities of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from combustion are less problematic and no less important when considering the implications of the US shale gas boom.

US CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from domestic energy have declined by 8.6% since a peak in 2005, the equivalent of 1.4% per year. Not all of this reduction has come in the power sector where shale gas has had most impact, and not all of the fuel switching has been due to the low price of gas. This report quantitatively explores the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions consequences of fuel switching in the US power sector using two simple methodologies. The analysis presented is conditional upon its internal assumptions, but provides an indication of the scale of potential impacts.

It suggests that emissions avoided at a national scale due to fuel switching in the power sector may be up to half of the total reduction in US energy system CO<sub>2</sub> emissions. The suppression of gas prices through shale gas availability is a plausible causative mechanism for at least part of this reduction in emissions. However, the research presented here has not isolated the proportion of fuel switching due to price effects. Other studies note that between 35% and 50% of the difference between peak and present power sector emissions may be due to shale gas price effects. Renewable and nuclear electricity incentivised by other policies has also accounted for some of the changes in grid emissions. We estimate that their increase in output appears to have been about two thirds of the increase in gas generation.

There has been a substantial increase in coal exports from the US over this time period (2008-2011) and globally, coal consumption has continued to rise. As we discussed in our previous report (Broderick et al. 2011), without a meaningful cap on global carbon emissions, the exploitation of shale gas reserves is likely to increase total emissions. For this not to be the case, consumption of displaced fuels must be reduced globally and remain suppressed indefinitely; in effect displaced coal must stay in the ground. The availability of shale gas does not guarantee this. Likewise, new renewable generating capacity may cause displacement without guaranteeing that coal is not burned, but it does not directly release carbon dioxide emissions through generation.

The calculations presented in this report suggest that more than half of the emissions avoided in the US power sector may have been exported as coal. In total, this export is equivalent to 340 MtCO<sub>2</sub> emissions elsewhere in the world, i.e. 52% of the 650 MtCO<sub>2</sub> of potential emissions avoided within the US.



A similar conclusion holds for 'peak to present' trends. The estimated additional 75 million short tons<sup>1</sup> of coal exported from the US in 2011 will release 150 MtCO<sub>2</sub> to the atmosphere upon combustion. If added to the US CO<sub>2</sub> output from fossil fuel combustion, the reduction from peak emissions in 2005 would be 360 MtCO<sub>2</sub>, i.e. a 6.0% change over this whole period or less than 1% per annum. This is far short of the rapid decarbonisation required to avoid dangerous climate change associated with a 2°C temperature rise.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The US Energy Information Administration statistics record coal traded in short tons equivalent to 2000lbs, slightly lighter than both the metric tonne (2205lbs) and the long ton (2240lbs) used in the UK Imperial system. Units are taken directly from the original data source for ease of comparison and review.